

Solution for Exercise 4 (Wednesday Session)

- Let $\mathbf{e}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ denotes the vector with a 1 in component i and zeros in the remaining components, and let $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ denote the all-ones vector.
- Let $W \in \mathbb{R}^n$ denote the random vector that takes value \mathbf{e}_i with probability $\mu_i > 0$, and let C denote the covariance matrix of W .

We assume that (after reordering if necessary) $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_n$. The following result is obtained by an easy calculation (omitted in this abstract):

Lemma 1. $\mathbb{E}[W] = \boldsymbol{\mu}$ and the covariance matrix C of W is of the form

$$C[i, j] = \begin{cases} \mu_i(1 - \mu_i) & \text{if } i = j \\ -\mu_i\mu_j & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2. The eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0$ of C are as follows:

1. $\lambda_n = 0$ (with eigenvector \mathbf{e}).
2. Eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{n-1}$ are the zeros of the function

$$h(\lambda) := \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \prod_{j:j \neq i} (\lambda - \mu_j) \quad (1)$$

so that

$$\forall k = 1, \dots, n-1 : \mu_k \geq \lambda_k \geq \mu_{k+1} . \quad (2)$$

Proof. Observe that C can be written in the form

$$C = \text{diag}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) - \boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\mu}^\top . \quad (3)$$

The sub-additivity of the rank implies that C has rank at least $n-1$. Obviously, \mathbf{e} is an eigenvector for eigenvalue $\lambda_n = 0$. Thus, the rank of C is exactly $n-1$. Furthermore, each of the $n-1$ remaining eigenvectors u must be orthogonal to \mathbf{e} :

$$\mathbf{e}^\top u = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i = 0 . \quad (4)$$

According to (3), equation $Cu = \lambda u$ can be written in the following form:

$$\forall i = 1, \dots, n : \mu_i u_i - \mu_i \boldsymbol{\mu}^\top u = \lambda u_i . \quad (5)$$

We proceed by case analysis.

Case 1: $\mu_1 = \dots = \mu_n = 1/n$.

Then we can choose as eigenvectors an orthonormal base of the subspace induced by $e^\top u = \mu^\top u = 0$ with eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_{n-1} = \frac{1}{n} .$$

For equal probability parameters, (1) collapses to

$$h(\lambda) = \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{n} \right)^{n-1} . \quad (6)$$

Note that $1/n$ is a zero of h with multiplicity $n - 1$. This shows that $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_{n-1} = 1/n$ are indeed the zeros of h .

Case 2 $\exists i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : \mu_i \neq \mu_j$.

Inspection of (5) reveals that no vector u satisfying $\mu^\top u = 0$ can be an eigenvector. Thus we can assume w.l.o.g. that an eigenvector u satisfies $\mu^\top u = -1$. Now (5) collapses to

$$\forall i = 1, \dots, n : \mu_i u_i + \mu_i = \lambda u_i , \quad (7)$$

which implies that

$$u_i \prod_{j=1}^n (\lambda - \mu_j) = u_i (\lambda - \mu_i) \prod_{j:j \neq i} (\lambda - \mu_j) \stackrel{(7)}{=} \mu_i \prod_{j:j \neq i} (\lambda - \mu_j) . \quad (8)$$

holds for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Now, we get

$$0 \stackrel{(4)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n u_i = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \prod_{j=1}^n (\lambda - \mu_j) \stackrel{(8)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \prod_{j:j \neq i} (\lambda - \mu_j) \stackrel{(1)}{=} h(\lambda) .$$

As in case 1, the strictly positive eigenvalues coincide with the zeros of h .

Finally (2) is obtained by observing that

$$\forall i = k, \dots, n - 1 : h(\mu_k) = \mu_k \prod_{j:j \neq k} (\mu_k - \mu_j) .$$

This implies that, for $k = 1, \dots, n - 2$,

$$h(\mu_k) = h(\mu_{k+1}) = 0 \text{ or } \text{sign}(h(\mu_k)) \neq \text{sign}(h(\mu_{k+1}))$$

and a simple continuity argument shows that the k -th zero of h is found in the interval $[\mu_{k+1}, \mu_k]$. \square